

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,693	I	10/29/2003	Michael Shur	SETI-0007 5258	
23550	7590	06/17/2004		EXAMINER	
		ICK & D'ALESS	ERDEM, FAZLI		
3 E-COMM ALBANY,				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALDMINI,	111 1220	•		2826	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·			
c	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/696,693	SHUR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fazli Erdem	2826	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc addre	∍ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 (<u>October 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	•		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	ewn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) □ objected to by the less drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	1 121/d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,		• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/23/2004</u> .			52)

Application/Control Number: 10/696,693

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claim 6 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Prior art failed to establish the required periodic grating gate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nerses et al. (6,178,275) in view of Mahonty (2003/0016716) further in view of Yamada (5,468,972).

 Regarding Claims 1-5 and 7, Nerses et al. disclose a method and apparatus for modulation of guided plasmons where in Figs. 1 and 4 a 2-dimensional gas structure 60 disposed on the AlGaAs semiconductor structure. In Claims 1, 4 and 6, Nerses et al. disclose a laser pulsing means to pulse the 2-dimensional gas. Nerses et al. fail to disclose the duration of such laser and the required adjustment of the frequency using the voltage applied to the semiconducting structure. However, Mahonty disclose a sonolaser where in paragraph 70 a ten picoseconds duration laser is disclosed. Furthermore, Yamada discloses vacuum device for controlling

spatial position and path electron where in paragraph 6 it is disclosed that an electron gas is accelerated to be moved with high speed in the air-tight chamber by an electric field generated across the source and drain electrodes, wherein the motion of the electron gas is controlled by an external signal applied to the gate electrode.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required laser duration and the required adjustment of the frequency in Nerses et al. as taught by Nahonty and Yamada in order to have a two dimensional gas structure on a semiconducting structure with higher performance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (703) 305-3868. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2826

FE

June 10, 2004

NATHAN J. FLYNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800